

1.DOUGI

Mr Kenly.

PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION OF PLANT REMAINS IN ESSO GIPPSLAND SHELP NO. 1 BORE

Cores 15 and 16

Bore cores Nos. 15 and 16 Esso Gippsland Shelf No. 1 Bore were macerated in hydrofluoric soid and Schulsts solution, and the residues examined. Megascopic plant remains in both cores were also examined, and in some cases treated to free cuticular tissue.

Core 15. The only megascopic remains identified consisted of conifer branches with small appressed leaves of <u>Pagiophyllum</u> type, comparable to <u>Pagiophyllum chambersi</u> n.sp. (Douglas MS), but differing in leaf and stomatal arrangement, and evidently a different species. <u>P. chambersi</u> was first found in the Arco Woodside Merriman No. 1 bore at 5,070-5,081 feet; and appears to be limited to the "Upper Beds" (<u>Pouglas MS</u>) of the Victorian Lower Cretaceous section.

Microfossils (sporomorphs) consisted largely of gymnosperm pollens including of. <u>Tseugaepollenites</u> sp. and <u>Alesporites</u> similia, with fern? species such as <u>Cyathidites australia</u> occasionally present. Two <u>Protescidites</u> sp. pollen grains were also isolated.

The microfossils indicate a Lower Cretaceous (Strzelecki Group?) age, and the Proteaceous pollens, if not a contaminant, in conjunction with the Pagiophyllum mega compressions indicate that the sample comes from beds at the top of the Lower Cretaceous section.

No marine fossils were seen in the sample.

core 16. Megacompressions here appear most likely, but not absolutely certainly to be derived from Sphenopsid roots and tubers. Microfossils present are poorly preserved, and few in number, and provide no conclusive evidence one way or another for geological age. Basing my opinion largely on the Phenopsid? roots or stems, I would consider the sample to be derived from Lower Cretaceous beds. Sphenopsid remains have not been found in younger rocks in Victoria.

John Douglas, Geologist